REMARKS

Claims 1-6 and 9-10 are pending herein. Claim 8 has been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 7 and 11-14 were cancelled previously without prejudice or disclaimer.

Initially, Applicants thank Examiner Peselev for the courtesies extended to their undersigned attorney during a telephonic interview on March 6, 2007. The substance of the points discussed in the interview is provided below.

In the interview, it was agreed that proposed Claim 1, as amended herein, overcomes the art of record. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that Claim 1, and Claims 2-6 and 9-10 depending therefrom, are all allowable.

- 2. Claims 1-6, 9 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 first paragraph. In particular, the terminology "no more than too fused ring aromatic groups" was objected to. It is respectfully submitted that Claim 1, as amended herein, includes the terminology that appeared in Claim 7, as originally filed. Therefore, this rejection is considered moot and is respectfully requested to be withdrawn.
- 3. Claim 8 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for including the terminology "comprise naphthalene". As noted above, Claim 8 has been cancelled thereby mooting its rejection. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of Claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, be withdrawn.

Amendment After Rejection dated March 12, 2007

Reply to Office Action of February 15, 2007

4. Claims 1-6 and 8-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Schroeter (U.S. Patent 2,980,535). As noted above, all pending Claims 1-6 and 9-10 are allowable. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of Claims 1-6 and 8-10 over Schroeter be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 1-6 and 9-10 are in condition for allowance. Withdrawal of all the rejections and objections and allowance of these claims are earnestly solicited.

It is believed that no additional fee is due for this submission. However, should that determination be incorrect, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiencies, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 01-0433, and notify the undersigned in due course.

Appl. No.: 10/690,847

Amendment After Rejection dated March 12, 2007

Reply to Office Action of February 15, 2007

Should the Examiner have any questions or wish to discuss further this matter, please contact the undersigned at the telephone number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

DINESH AGARWAL
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 31,809

Law Office - Dinesh Agarwal, P.C. 5350 Shawnee Road, Suite 330 Alexandria, Virginia 22312

Tel.: (703) 642-9400 Fax: (703) 642-9402

DA/va